

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,484	01/29/2001	Daniel Pelletier	US010008	1681	
24737 7:	590 06/09/2004		EXAMINER		
		PERTY & STANDARDS	AGGARWAL, YOGESH K		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF	I MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615	4	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/200	4 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<u> </u>	09/772,484	PELLETIER, DANIEL	2				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yogesh K Aggarwal	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed into (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
, ,	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is		objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage					
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.7. 	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/772,484 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Objections

1. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In Claim 6 ".... Wherein the determining step includes the step of measuring the length of time...." Should read as ".... Wherein the determining step includes the step of measuring a length of time....".

In Claim 9 "The method according to claim 1...." Should read as "The method according to claim 6...."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuķi (US Patent # 5,831,670).

[Claim1]

Suzuki teaches a method of operating a camera system (figure 1) comprising the steps of providing a camera system (figure 1) comprising a camera and a central processing unit (figure 1: 1), capturing a view of a subject with the camera (col. 4 lines 24-28), determining the cinematographic parameters of the view (figure 20: 3-7), comparing the determined cinematographic parameters to reference cinematographic parameters (figure 22: stepS11, step S22 and step S23), formulating recommended camera operation based on the comparison of the

Application/Control Number: 09/772,484

Art Unit: 2615

determined cinematographic parameters to the reference cinematographic parameters (figure 22:

Page 3

steps S31 and S32) and indicating the recommended camera operation to the user (figure 21).

[Claim 2]

Suzuki further teaches the step of effecting camera operation in accordance with the

recommended camera action (figure 22: step S33).

[Claim 3]

Suzuki teaches wherein the providing step further comprises the step of inputting data defining

the reference cinematographic parameters into the central processor (col. 8 lines 33-41)[The

dispersion number 'E' is calculated and inputted as a reference parameter. Col. 8 lines 10-12

teaches that the composition estimation processing is performed by the CPU 1 based upon the

inputted reference parameters like dispersion number 'E'].

[Claim 4]

Suzuki further teaches wherein the capturing step comprises the step of generating video data

defining the view (col. 3 lines 37-43).

[Claim 5]

Suzuki teaches the capturing step further comprising the step of analyzing the video data and

comparing it to the reference cinematographic parameters (figure 22: stepS11, step S22 and step

S23).

[Claim 6]

Suzuki further teaches wherein the determining step includes the step of measuring the length of

time during which the view remains the same (col. 5 lines 55-57, figure 5: step S103).

[Claim 7]

Application/Control Number: 09/772,484

Art Unit: 2615

Suzuki further teaches wherein the comparing step comprises the step of determining the level of quality of the view based on the comparison between the determined cinematographic parameters and the reference cinematographic parameters (col. 1 lines 49-58)

Page 4

[Claim 8]

Suzuki teaches the step of formulating recommended camera operation that effects capture of a new view if it is determined that the quality of the captured view is below a predetermined level of quality (col. 1 lines 49-58)[After the warning means issues a warning, the user can effect a new view based upon the new quality parameters as shown in figures 20-26]

[Claim 9]

Suzuki further teaches the step of comparing the determined length of time to a predetermined length of time (col. 6 lines 1-3, figure 5: step S107)

[Claim 10]

Suzuki further teaches the step of formulating recommended camera operation so as to capture a new view if the determined length of time exceeds the predetermined length of time (col. 6 lines 5-10. Figure 22 shows after the calculation of dispersion number E in step S22, a new view is taken as indicated in steps S31-S33).

[Claim 11]

Suzuki teaches wherein the captured view has an angle and the determined step comprises the step of determining the angle of the view (col. 21 lines 57-67, figure 26: step S321)[The measurement of correction direction detection procedure for a horizontal edge can be read as capturing and determining an angle of view because based upon the deviation of the inclination

Application/Control Number: 09/772,484 Page 5

Art Unit: 2615

of the horizontal edge being smaller or equal to the allowable value H0, the camera can be rotated clockwise or anticlockwise changing the angle of view].

[Claim 12]

Suzuki teaches wherein the comparing step comprises comparing the determined angle of the captured view to a reference angle defined by the reference cinematographic parameters (col. 22 lines 1-9, figure 26: steps S322, S323).

[Claim 13]

Suzuki further teaches wherein the formulating step comprises the step of formulating recommended camera operation that effects variation of the angle of the captured view (col. 22 lines 9-20, figure 26: steps S324-S326).

[Claims 14, 15]

Claims 14-15 are apparatus claims corresponding to method claims 1-2 respectively. Therefore they have been analyzed and rejected based upon claims 1-2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US Patent # 5,831,670).

[Claim 16]

Application/Control Number: 09/772,484

Page 6

Art Unit: 2615

Claim 16 is similar to claim 1 except a computer processor for storing and reading the code for the method implemented in claim 1. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have a computer processor for storing and reading the code in order to transfer the code to any other image input apparatus so as to implement it on other devices.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - i. Kaneda (US Patent # 6,424,372).
 - ii. Kim (US Patent # 6,480,225).
 - iii. Mahler et al. (US PG-PUB # 2001/0015409).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K Aggarwal whose telephone number is (703) 305-0346. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary examiner, Ngoc Yen Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA May 20, 2004

> NGOC-YENVU/ PRIMARY EXAMINER